

## Boundary Value Problems for Doubly Perturbed First Order Ordinary Differential Systems

Mouffak Benchohra<sup>1</sup>, Smail Djebali<sup>2</sup> and Toufik Moussaoui<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Sidi Bel Abbès,  
BP 89, 22000, Sidi Bel Abbès, Algérie.  
e-mail: benchohra@univ-sba.dz

<sup>2</sup> Département de Mathématiques, E.N.S. B.P. 92 Kouba, Alger, Algérie.  
e-mail: djebali@ens-kouba.dz, moussaoui@ens-kouba.dz

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present new results on existence theory for perturbed BVPs for first order ordinary differential systems. A nonlinear alternative for the sum of a contraction and a compact mapping is used.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications:* 34A34, 34B15.

*Key words:* Perturbed BVPs, Ordinary differential systems, Nonlinear alternative.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to the question of existence of solutions for a doubly perturbed boundary value problem (BVP) associated with first order ordinary differential systems of the form:

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + F(t, x(t)) + G(t, x(t)), \quad a.e. \quad t \in [0, 1]; \quad (1)$$

$$Mx(0) + Nx(1) = \eta. \quad (2)$$

Here the functions  $F, G : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  are Carathéodory,  $A(\cdot)$  is a continuous  $(n \times n)$  matrix function,  $M$  and  $N$  are constant  $(n \times n)$  matrices, and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Problem (1)-(2) encompasses second order differential equation with periodic condition or Sturm-Liouville nonlinear problem (see the example in Section 3). We shall denote by  $\|x\|$  the norm of any element  $x$  of the euclidian space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and by  $\|A\|$  the norm of any matrix  $A$ . The notation  $:=$  means throughout to be equal to. In this paper, we shall prove the existence of solutions for Problem (1)-(2) under suitable conditions on the nonlinearities  $F$  and  $G$ . Our approach will be based, for the existence of solutions, on a fixed point theorem for the sum of a contraction map and a completely continuous map due to Ntouyas and Tsamatos [7] which we recall hereafter; it can be seen as a generalization of Burton and Kirk's Alternative [3]:

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

**Theorem 1.1** [7] *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space,  $B_1, B_2$  be operators from  $X$  into  $X$  such that  $B_1$  is a  $\gamma$ -contraction, and  $B_2$  is completely continuous. Assume also that*

*(H) There exists a sphere  $B(0, r)$  in  $X$  with center 0 and radius  $r$  such that for every  $y \in B(0, r)$ ,  $r(1 - \gamma) \geq \|B_1 0 + B_2 y\|$ . Then either*

*(a) the operator equation  $x = (B_1 + B_2)x$  has a solution with  $\|x\| \leq r$ , or*

*(b) there exists a point  $x_0 \in \partial B(0, r)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $x_0 = \lambda B_1 \left(\frac{x_0}{\lambda}\right) + \lambda B_2 x_0$ .*

Mappings which are equal to the sum of a contraction and a completely continuous function play an important role in fixed point theory (see [6]). Through Hamerstein operators, one can construct compact mapping and then apply Theorem 1.1 to BVPs associated with second order ODEs (see [2, 4, 6, 8]). In this paper, we extend those results to the case of systems doubly perturbed with contraction and Carathéodory functions satisfying specific growth.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations, and preliminaries used throughout this paper. Recall that  $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$  is the Banach space of all continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the norm

$$\|x\|_0 = \sup \{\|x(t)\| : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

Let  $AC((0, 1), \mathbb{R}^n)$  be the space of differentiable functions  $x : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , which are absolutely continuous.

We denote by  $L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$  the Banach space of measurable functions  $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  which are Lebesgue integrable normed by

$$\|x\|_{L^1} = \int_0^1 \|x(t)\| dt \quad \text{for all } x \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Recall the following.

**Definition 2.1** *A function  $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be Carathéodory if*

*(i)  $t \mapsto F(t, y)$  is measurable for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and*

*(ii)  $y \mapsto F(t, y)$  is continuous for almost each  $t \in [0, 1]$ .*

**Definition 2.2** *Given a Banach space  $X$ , we say that a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is totally bounded if it maps each bounded subset of  $X$  into a relatively compact subset. If, further it is continuous, it is called completely continuous.*

### 3 EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

In this section, we are concerned with the existence of solutions to Problem (1)-(2). We first state an auxiliary result from linear differential systems theory [1].

**Lemma 3.1** *Consider the following linear mixed boundary value problem*

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + h(t), \quad a.e. \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (3)$$

$$Mx(0) + Nx(1) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Let  $\Phi(t)$  be a fundamental matrix solution of  $x'(t) = A(t)x(t)$ , such that  $\Phi(0) = I$ , the  $(n \times n)$  identity matrix. We can easily show that if  $\det(M + N\Phi(1)) \neq 0$ , then the linear inhomogeneous problem (3)-(4) has a unique solution given by

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s)h(s)ds$$

where  $k(t, s)$  is the Green function defined by

$$k(t, s) = \begin{cases} \Phi(t)J(s), & 0 \leq t \leq s, \\ \Phi(t)\Phi(s)^{-1} + \Phi(t)J(s), & s \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$J(t) = -(M + N\Phi(1))^{-1}N\Phi(1)\Phi(t)^{-1}.$$

As for the inhomogeneous boundary conditions, the following Lemma is easily verified:

**Lemma 3.2** *Consider the following inhomogeneous linear boundary value problem*

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + h(t), \quad a.e. \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (5)$$

$$Mx(0) + Nx(1) = \eta. \quad (6)$$

Let  $x_h$  be the solution of the homogeneous boundary value problem (3)-(4). Keeping the same notations as in Lemma 3.1, the solution of Problem (5)-(6) reads

$$x(t) = x_h(t) + \Phi(t) (M + N\Phi(1))^{-1} \eta.$$

Next, we transform BVP (1)-(2) into a fixed point problem. Consider the Banach space  $X = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$  endowed with the sup-norm. Let the operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$Tx(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s)[F(s, x(s)) + G(s, x(s))] ds.$$

It is clear that fixed points of  $T$  are solutions for BVP (1)-(2). Let us introduce the following hypotheses which are assumed hereafter:

- **(H1)** The function  $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is Carathéodory and satisfies:

$$\exists l \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+), \|F(t, y_1) - F(t, y_2)\| \leq l(t)\|y_1 - y_2\|$$

for almost each  $t \in [0, 1]$  and all  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- **(H2)** The function  $G$  is continuous and there exist a function  $q \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  with  $q(t) > 0$  for almost each  $t \in [0, 1]$  and a continuous nondecreasing function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\|G(t, y)\| \leq q(t)\psi(\|y\|) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- **(H3)** Set  $k^* := \sup_{(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]} \|k(t, s)\|$  and assume that

$$k^* \|l\|_{L^1} < 1.$$

- **(H4)** Set  $F^* := \int_0^1 \|F(s, 0)\| ds$  and assume there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$r > \frac{k^* (F^* + \|q\|_{L^1} \Psi(r))}{1 - k^* \|l\|_{L^1}}. \quad (7)$$

Our main result is:

**Theorem 3.1** *Under hypotheses (H1)-(H4), BVP (1)-(2) has at least one solution  $x \in AC([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

**Proof.** Define the two operators  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  on  $X$  by

$$B_1 x(t) := \int_0^1 k(t, s) F(s, x(s)) ds, \quad B_2 x(t) := \int_0^1 k(t, s) G(s, x(s)) ds.$$

We are going to show that the operators  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  satisfy all conditions of Theorem 1.1.

**Claim 1.**  $B_1$  is a contraction.

Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_1 x(t) - B_1 y(t)\| &= \left\| \int_0^1 k(t, s) [F(s, x(s)) - F(s, y(s))] ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|k(t, s)\| \|F(s, x(s)) - F(s, y(s))\| ds \\ &\leq k^* \|l\|_{L^1} \|x - y\|_0 < \|x - y\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|B_1 x - B_1 y\|_0 \leq \|x - y\|_0.$$

**Claim 2.**  $B_2$  is continuous.

Let  $x_n, x \in X$  such that  $x_n \longrightarrow x$  in  $X$ , that is

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad (n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \|x_n - x\|_0 < \varepsilon).$$

For each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_2x_n(t) - B_2x(t)\| &\leq \int_0^1 \|k(t, s)\| \cdot \|G(s, x_n(s)) - G(s, x(s))\| ds \\ &\leq k^* \int_0^1 \|G(s, x_n(s)) - G(s, x(s))\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since the convergence of a sequence implies its boundedness, there is a number  $L > 0$  such that

$$\|x_n(t)\| \leq L, \quad \|x(t)\| \leq L, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Now, the function  $G$  is uniformly continuous on the compact set

$$\{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0, 1], \|x\| \leq L\}.$$

It follows that

$$\|G(s, x_n(s)) - G(s, x(s))\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{k^*}.$$

Therefore, we infer that

$$\|B_2x_n - B_2x\|_0 \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

The continuity of  $B_2$  is proved.

**Claim 3.**  $B_2$  is totally bounded.

Consider the closed ball  $C = \{x \in X; \|x\|_0 \leq M\}$ . We prove that the image  $B_2(C)$  is relatively compact in  $X$ . We have, by (H2)

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_2x(t)\| &= \left\| \int_0^1 k(t, s)G(s, x(s))ds \right\| \\ &\leq k^* \int_0^1 \|G(s, x(s))\| ds \\ &\leq k^* \int_0^1 q(s)\psi(\|x(s)\|)ds \\ &\leq k^*\psi(\|x\|_0)\|q\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq k^*\psi(M)\|q\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $B_2(C)$  is uniformly bounded. In addition, the following estimates hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_2x(t_2) - B_2x(t_1)\| &= \left\| \int_0^1 [k(t_2, s) - k(t_1, s)]G(s, x(s))ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|k(t_2, s) - k(t_1, s)\|q(s)\psi(M)ds \\ &\leq \psi(M) \int_0^1 q(s)\|k(t_2, s) - k(t_1, s)\| ds; \end{aligned}$$

the right-hand side term tends to 0 as  $t_2 \longrightarrow t_2$  for any  $x \in C$ . Then,  $B_2(C)$  is equicontinuous. By the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, the mapping  $B_2$  is completely continuous on  $X$ .

**Claim 4.** Now, we prove that, under Assumption (7), the second alternative of Theorem 1.1 is not valid.

Consider the sphere  $B(0, r)$ ,  $r$  being defined by (H4). For  $x \in B(0, r)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_1 0 + B_2 x\|_0 &= \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left\| \int_0^1 k(t, s) F(s, 0) ds + \int_0^1 k(t, s) G(s, x(s)) ds \right\| \\ &\leq k^* F^* + k^* \|q\|_{L^1} \Psi(\|x\|_0) \\ &\leq k^* F^* + k^* \|q\|_{L^1} \Psi(r) \\ &< r(1 - k^* \|l\|_{L^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, argue by contradiction and assume that there exist  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in \partial B(0, r)$  with  $x = \lambda B_1 \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} \right) + \lambda B_2 x$ . Then  $x$  verifies the estimates

$$\|x(t)\| \leq k^* \|l\|_{L^1} \|x\|_0 + k^* F^* + k^* \|q\|_{L^1} \Psi(\|x\|_0).$$

Hence

$$r = \|x\|_0 \leq \frac{k^* (F^* + \|q\|_{L^1} \Psi(r))}{1 - k^* \|l\|_{L^1}}$$

contradicting Assumption (7). We then conclude that Assertion (a) in Theorem 1.1 is satisfied, proving the claim of Theorem 3.1.

### 3.1 Example

Consider the second order boundary value Sturm-Liouville problem

$$-x'' + qx' + rx = f(t, x(t), x'(t)) + g(t, x(t), x'(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1 \quad (8)$$

$$a_0 x(0) - a_1 x'(0) = c_0 \quad (9)$$

$$b_0 x(1) + b_1 x'(1) = c_1 \quad (10)$$

where  $a_0, a_1$  and  $b_0, b_1$  are nonnegative real numbers satisfying  $a_0 + a_1 > 0$ ,  $b_0 + b_1 > 0$  and  $(c_0, c_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The functions  $f, g: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are assumed Carathéodory; the function  $f$  satisfies Lipschitz condition with respect to the last two arguments while  $g$  verifies a growth condition as in Assumption (H2). The functions  $q, r: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous.

$v^t$  being the transpose of the vector  $v$ , we adopt the notations  $x' = y$ ,  $X = (x, y)^t$

$$F = (0, -f)^t \quad G = (0, -g)^t$$

as well as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ r & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and finally  $c = (c_0, c_1)^t$ .

Problem (8) – (10) is then rewritten under the matrix form

$$\begin{cases} X' = AX + F + G \\ MX(0) + NX(1) = c. \end{cases}$$

Under Assumption (H4) both with  $\det(M + N\Phi(1)) \neq 0$ , Problem (8) – (10) has a solution  $x$ .

**Remark 3.1** *In case  $q, r$  are constant, notice that condition  $\det(M + N\Phi(1)) \neq 0$  is nothing but  $a_0(a_1e^{r_2} + b_1r_2e^{r_2}) \neq b_0(a_1e^{r_2} + b_1r_re^{r_2})$  where  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are the roots of the characteristic equation  $-s^2 + qs + r = 0$ .*

## 4 Existence of Extremal Solutions

In this section we shall prove the existence of maximal and minimal solutions of BVP (1)-(2) under suitable monotonicity conditions on the functions involved in it. We define the usual co-ordinate-wise order relation  $\leq$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as follows. Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  be any two elements. Then by  $x \leq y$ , we mean  $x_i \leq y_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We equip the space  $X = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$  with the order relation  $\leq$  induced by the natural positive cone  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $X$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{C} = \{x \in X \mid x(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

It is known that the cone  $\mathcal{C}$  is normal in  $X$ . Cones and their properties are detailed in [5]. Let  $a, b \in X$  be such that  $a \leq b$ . Then, by an order interval  $[a, b]$  we mean a set of points in  $X$  given by

$$[a, b] = \{x \in X \mid a \leq x \leq b\}.$$

**Definition 4.1** *Let  $X$  be an ordered Banach space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called isotone increasing if  $T(x) \leq T(y)$  for any  $x, y \in X$  with  $x < y$ . Similarly,  $T$  is called isotone decreasing if  $T(x) \geq T(y)$  whenever  $x < y$ .*

**Definition 4.2** [5] *We say that  $x \in X$  is the least fixed point of  $G$  in  $X$  if  $x = Gx$  and  $x \leq y$  whenever  $y \in X$  and  $y = Gy$ . The greatest fixed point of  $G$  in  $X$  is defined similarly by reversing the inequality. If both least and greatest fixed point of  $G$  in  $X$  exist, we call them extremal fixed point of  $G$  in  $X$ .*

The following fixed point theorem is due to Heikkilä and Lakshmikantham:

**Theorem 4.1** [5] *Let  $[a, b]$  be an order interval in an order Banach space  $X$  and let  $Q : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$  be a nondecreasing mapping. If each sequence  $(Qx_n) \subset Q([a, b])$  converges, whenever  $(x_n)$  is a monotone sequence in  $[a, b]$ , then the sequence of  $Q$ -iteration of  $a$  converges to the least fixed point  $x_*$  of  $Q$  and the sequence of  $Q$ -iteration of  $b$  converges to the greatest fixed point  $x^*$  of  $Q$ . Moreover*

$$x_* = \min\{y \in [a, b], y \geq Qy\} \text{ and } x^* = \max\{y \in [a, b], y \leq Qy\}$$

As a consequence, Dhage and Henderson have proved the following

**Theorem 4.2** [4]. *Let  $K$  be a cone in the Banach space  $X$  and let  $[a, b]$  be an order interval in a Banach space and let  $B_1, B_2 : [a, b] \rightarrow X$  be two functions satisfying*

- (a)  $B_1$  is a contraction,
- (b)  $B_2$  is completely continuous,
- (c)  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are strictly monotone increasing, and
- (d)  $B_1(x) + B_2(x) \in [a, b], \forall x \in [a, b]$ .

*Further if the cone  $K$  in  $X$  is normal, then the equation  $x = B_1(x) + B_2(x)$  has a least fixed point  $x_*$  and a greatest fixed point  $x^* \in [a, b]$ . Moreover  $x_* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  and  $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n$ , where  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are the sequences in  $[a, b]$  defined by*

$$x_{n+1} = B_1(x_n) + B_2(x_n), x_0 = a \text{ and } y_{n+1} = B_1(y_n) + B_2(y_n), y_0 = b.$$

We need the following definitions in the sequel.

**Definition 4.3** *A function  $v \in AC([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$  is called a strict lower solution of BVP (1)-(2) if  $v'(t) \leq A(t)v(t) + F(t, v(t)) + G(t, v(t))$  a.e.  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $Mv(0) + Nv(1) \leq \eta$ . Similarly a strict upper solution  $w$  of BVP (1)-(2) is defined by reversing the order of the above inequalities.*

**Definition 4.4** *A solution  $x_M$  of BVP (1)-(2) is said to be maximal if for any other solution  $x$  of BVP (1)-(2) on  $[0, 1]$ , we have that  $x(t) \leq x_M(t)$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Similarly a minimal solution of BVP (1)-(2) is defined by reversing the order of the inequalities.*

**Definition 4.5** *A function  $F(t, x)$  is called strictly monotone increasing in  $x$  almost everywhere for  $t \in J$ , if  $F(t, x) \leq F(t, y)$  a.e.  $t \in J$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x < y$ . Similarly  $F(t, x)$  is called strictly monotone decreasing in  $x$  almost everywhere for  $t \in J$ , if  $F(t, x) \geq F(t, y)$  a.e.  $t \in J$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x < y$ .*

We consider the following assumptions in the sequel.



- (H5) The functions  $F(t, y)$  and  $G(t, y)$  are strictly monotone nondecreasing in  $y$  for almost each  $t \in [0, 1]$ .
- (H6) The BVP (1)-(2) has a lower solution  $v$  and an upper solution  $w$  with  $v \leq w$ .
- (H7) The kernel  $k$  preserves the order, that is  $k(t, s)v(s) \geq 0$  whenever  $v \geq 0$ .

**Remark 4.1** *If we assume that there exist some constant vectors  $\underline{y} \leq \overline{y}$  such that for each  $t \in [0, 1]$*

$$\begin{aligned} A(t)\underline{y} + F(t, \underline{y}) + G(t, \underline{y}) &\geq 0, & (M + N)\underline{y} &\leq \eta, \\ A(t)\overline{y} + F(t, \overline{y}) + G(t, \overline{y}) &\leq 0, & (M + N)\overline{y} &\geq \eta, \end{aligned}$$

*then  $\underline{y}$ ,  $\overline{y}$  are respectively lower and upper solutions for Problem (1)-(2).*

**Theorem 4.3** *Assume that Assumptions (H1)-(H6) hold true. Then BVP (1)-(2) has minimal and maximal solutions on  $[0, 1]$ .*

**Proof.** It can be shown, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are respectively a contraction and compact on  $[a, b]$ . We shall show that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are isotone increasing on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $x, y \in [a, b]$  be such that  $x \leq y$ ,  $x \neq y$ . Then by Assumptions (H5), (H7), we have for each  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x)(t) &= \int_0^1 k(t, s)F(s, x(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 k(t, s)F(s, y(s)) ds \\ &= B_1(y)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $B_2(x) \leq B_2(y)$ . Therefore  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are isotone increasing on  $[a, b]$ . Finally, let  $x \in [a, b]$  be any element. By Assumptions (H6), we deduce that

$$a \leq B_1(a) + B_2(a) \leq B_1(x) + B_2(x) \leq B_1(b) + B_2(b) \leq b,$$

which shows that  $B_1(x) + B_2(x) \in [a, b]$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Thus, the functions  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  satisfy all conditions of Theorem 4.2. It follows that BVP (1)-(2) has maximal and minimal solutions on  $[0, 1]$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.

## References

- [1] G. ANICHINI AND G. CONTI, *Boundary value problems for systems of differential equations*, *Nonlinearity* **1**, 1988, 1-10.
- [2] S. BERNFELD AND V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, *An Introduction to Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [3] T.A. BURTON AND C. KIRK, *A fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii-Schaefer type*, *Math. Nachr.*, **189**, 1998, 23-31.
- [4] B.C. DHAGE AND J. HENDERSON, *Existence theory for nonlinear functional boundary value problems*, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2004, No. 1, 15 pp.
- [5] S. HEIKKILA AND V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, *Monotone Iterative Technique for Nonlinear Discontinuous Differential Equations*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1994.
- [6] M.A. KRASNOSELSKII, *Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1964.
- [7] S.K. NTOUYAS AND P.CH. TSAMATOS, *A Fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii-Nonlinear alternative type with applications to functional integral equations*, *Diff. Eqn. Dyn. Syst.* **7**, 1999, N2, 139-146.
- [8] E. ZEIDLER, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis: Part I*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1985.

(Received December 11, 2005)